Attorney Docket: 012138-0290479

Client Reference: 12NP



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of:

Confirmation Number: 3445

MAGUIRE

Application No.: 10/053,571

Group Art Unit: 1771

Filed: January 24, 2002 Examiner: Ruddock, Ula Corinna

Title: NO-TWIST FABRICATED FILTRATION SCREEN

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O.Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated May 18, 2006, please reconsider the patentability of the pending claims based on the following remarks. Claims 25 and 29-38 are pending. Claims 30, 35 and 38 have been withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected species.

Claims 25, 29 and 31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haver et al. (U.S. 4,691,744; hereafter "Haver"), claim 32 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haver and Caals (U.S. 1,788,657) and claims 33, 34, 36 and 37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haver and Pall et al. (U.S. 3,327,866; hereafter "Pall"). Applicant traverses the rejections because the cited prior art, analyzed individually or in combination, fails to disclose, teach or suggest all the features recited in the rejected claims.

For example, the cited prior art, analyzed individually or in combination, fails to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed filter screen that includes <u>both</u> "one or more first reinforcing filaments woven with the second plurality of filaments in the second direction to reinforce the second plurality of filaments in the first direction; and one or more second reinforcing filaments woven with the first plurality of filaments in the first direction to reinforce the first plurality of filaments in the second direction," as recited in independent claim 25.